

Transcript Part I

Texas Advanced Air Mobility Advisory Committee Meeting // 01-24-24

Daniel Bagwell 00:00

I'll just start over.

Uh, can you hear me online?

Ben Ivers (Boeing) 00:08

Yes, we can hear you.

Daniel Bagwell 00:08

Alright, thank you.

I'm going to go down the roll call here for the committee members.

If you're here, please unmute and then let me know.

Thank you.

Ahsan Choudhuri

Sorry if I butchered your name.

That would be a missing.

Andrew Chang believe I see you there?

Andrew Chang 00:31

Yeah.

Daniel Bagwell

Alright.

Angel Newhart

Benjamin Ivers

Ben Ivers

Ben Ivers (Boeing) 00:44

I am here, online.

Daniel Bagwell 00:52

Brent Klavon.

Brent Skorup.

Cade Clark

Cade Clark 1:06

Here.

Daniel Bagwell 1:09

Cameron Walker.

Ernest Huffman left the meeting

Dawn Denson joined the meeting

Ernest Huffman joined the meeting

Daniel Bagwell 1:15

Dan Dalton is here.

David Fields.

Ernest Huffman.

Michelle Burcham

He's online.

Daniel Bagwell

George Kivork

George Kivork 1:49

Present.

Thank you.

Daniel Bagwell 1:50

Thank you.

Grant Guillot

Grant Guillot 2:00

Here.

Daniel Bagwell 2:02

Thank you.
Gus Khankarli

Khankarli, Ghassan 2:07

That's it.

Daniel Bagwell 2:11

Thank you.
Jason Day.

Jason Day

Morning.

Daniel Bagwell

Jeff Bilyeu

Jeff Bilyeu 2:20

I'm here.
Good morning.

Daniel Bagwell 2:22

Morning.
Jim Porschbach

Jim Porschbach 2:28

Here online.

Daniel Bagwell 2:31

Kendal Prosack

Kendal Prosack

Here.

Daniel Bagwell

Kimberly Williams

Kimberly Williams 2:44

Here.

Sergio Roman joined the meeting

Daniel Bagwell 2:48

Mark Ozenik

Mark Ozenik 2:50

Mark Ozenik here.

Good morning.

Daniel Bagwell 2:53

Thank you.

Mark Ozenik 2:54

You're welcome.

Daniel Bagwell 2:57

Maruthi Akella

Michael Sanders

Thomas Swoyer 3:12

Isn't there's not.

Daniel Bagwell 3:17

Nathan Trail

Sergio Saenz

Thomas Swoyer

Thomas Swoyer 3:36

Here online.

Dan Dalton 3:41

Excellent.

Thank you.

Thank you very much.

Appreciate it.

Umm, so, uh.

Over the last few weeks since we last met myself, Michael and others Dan have met to kind of talk about what the opportunities are going to look like for this group, and I also appreciate those who have reached out and provided additional ideas and opportunities of how we can actually drive this.

I think one of the big things and you'll see the agenda here if you don't have it, I'll just gonna read through it as quickly.

You gotta here.

Traditional throat clearing for myself.

Fields, David - PD joined the meeting

Dan Dalton 4:18

And then you'll hear a we'll talk about the working groups themselves, how we would like to actually kind of break out of this sub working groups.

Then we will actually do some work today, and break out into those groups after which would be ready to come back and discuss what the groups have covered in that time.

And then if there's any other information or business we need to do, we'll go through that and then we will adjourn.

I actually have a feeling that the next well less than three hours now.

Well, actually go quite fast and I really do encourage folks to to embrace those sub working group activities and really drive for tangible progress.

One of the things that I think in all my conversations with folks have been, you know, there's been some great work that's been performed by groups like this in the past.

Making fantastic recommendations as you what are those legislative or something and activities that could be performed by the state or by the legislature to really advance UAM and drone and UAS activities and state of Texas.

One of the things that has also come very clear is the desire to make it very more, much more tangible here in the state of Texas.

Lorena Robledo left the meeting

Dan Dalton 5:29

What are those things that we can do and actually drive to create these ecosystems rather than just setting up the legislative and the and the funding environments where the how do we actually create?

What have we break ground on things?

Well, how do we actually lay concrete?

What does it look like to actually build out an ecosystem in the state of Texas.

So that's one thing I would actually challenge the working groups today and through the course of this is what are the tangible things that we can do to push the state of Texas forward in the innovation of both AAM, what am is relates to new innovative aircraft as well as those UAS.

Chris HEWLETT left the meeting

Dan Dalton 6:11

So if you know if folks want to recommend putting out a something like the IDP sites or the creation of an actual workload or multiple vertiports, this is not too far.

Chris HEWLETT joined the meeting

Dan Dalton 6:24

I really I would encourage us to think creatively and outside the box on ways that we can get tangibly move the needle here for Texas.

Obviously there will be a lot of different perspectives on how we drive that, but it's really important for us to walk away from these next few sessions because we only have a few before we actually are regarding the buy in that legislative recommendation, use these next few sessions to really think outside the box to really push forward and to make Texas the leader in UAS.

So, with that being said, are there any kind of opening comments before we kind of go through the slide that can review a little bit last year and we into this this next

session?

Wonderful.

OK, if you present the slides.

You and in no way this designed to be a monologue.

So Members, please do feel free to to weigh in if you're online, raise your hand and then if I miss the hand, please let me know.

So you can go ahead and do the next slide.

One of the things that we did.

Oh, OK.

So of course housekeeping.

So it's important to note before I get too far down the path here, Mr. TxDOT aviation, Sir, would you have anything you'd like to say?

Dan Harmon 7:48

No, Sir.

I just want housekeeping perspective.

You know, we try to keep everything you know as as transparent and keeping with the the open records they're moving along.

So we're going to record the meetings and so they could be posted on the website for public access, and most there is some sort of there's a small committee, you know, we're not saying you can't have the committee discussion or whatever that's not recorded.

But generally speaking, when you have a full meeting of the subcommittee, and certainly of this group, we want to be able to document that uh and make it publicly available, and also just to make it easy for everybody, you know, when you, when you start to state whatever, just identify yourself and who you're with just makes a lot easier for folks to try to keep track of everybody.

Ernest Huffman joined the meeting

Dan Harmon 8:38

It is a large group and it's much to try to think I can remember.

If it says the name and everything, all that I'm you know, just just super violence so.

No, but one thing we break up.

We've got a breakout rooms for the.

Uh for the working groups, one of which will be unfortunately behind the the curtain there, where the Wizard of Oz works.

So when we break up, there'll be a short break so that we can kind of walk those people that are gonna be in that group in that room to get badged in and be escorted in so that, you know, we can't let everybody know what happens.

You know, closed doors design.

Umm so put heads on you on walking down there.

All the other rooms are going to be very close to here and what we'll do is we'll have links that will go out and chat that will allow folks who are online to join each of the rooms the the contacts in trying to committee discussions as well as members of public who wanna join us, that's it!

Dan Dalton 9:50

Thank you very much, next slide please.

So just quick rundown.

So this dead battery, it literally says that on here

Daniel Bagwell 10:03

Got three more

Dan Dalton 10:05

That's good.

You're the microphones.

Are you tired of me?

That's all right.

So, uh, so the key point there, pretty straightforward, just to kind of remind folks of what the timeline is that we're looking at.

So hopefully the intention is to have at least three full committee member, sorry, full committee meetings this year.

I have a feeling we may wanna shoot horn in one at least one more in there.

Ohm then subcommittees should feel free to meet in all of those intervening months.

That would be great.

The real work will be done and some committee levels, so if you are leaving and subcommittee, please drive within your teams to to assemble and to get make progress there.

Because if you're waiting to make progress in committee meeting, I fear we will be moving too slow.

Umm.

And of course, the idea is you have the draft recommendations to our friends here in TxDOT by the 1st of August.

Ernest Huffman left the meeting

Dan Dalton 11:09

Uh, so that they can enjoy reading that in the least and then by in September, really having those kind of finalized recommendations for for the legislature to consider by October 1st.

So again, these dates are kind of fungible and we'll see how they go.

But one thing that I do want to note is the is the pace by which this ground we basically have to have everything buttoned up and then next six and half to seven months.

Jake Posey joined the meeting

Dan Dalton 11:37

So I there was a question online and so the question goes in.

OK.

Any questions?

Comments.

I won't ask for a concerns because it's a I know it's concerning, so the fast pace.

Next slide please.

So one of the things that we looked at as it relates to the committee structure was last year's.

So as you can see there, they're basically broken.

Last year's UAM subcommittees were broken into the following four.

I think from what I understand, those really did serve as the committee quite well.

One area that you'll notice we basically use a very similar structure, but one area that you'll notice is we did break out was the concept around funding because that seemed to be his own.

Umm, it's own focus area and an area that I think, yeah, what we can talk about that

but along the next slide just to show everyone kind of what we're making. So these are verbatim what I sent out earlier this week, and it was on Monday Ohm. And for those that maybe aren't able to see this, basically there's five subcommittees we are proposing.

One of them is infrastructure, and that does include the digital infrastructure, physical infrastructure or space, all those things.

And these are all based on kind of the amalgamation of your recommendations, your input that you provided over the last few weeks since our last December meeting.

Ohm.

Public good safety use cases, specifically involving those organizations and the use cases that they foresee needing to deploy or employ with the use of AAM UAS.

Community integration.

Not only in the sense of public engagement in education and outreach, but also to ensure the public entities are able to tap into resources that this committee could recommend.

Economic impact, obviously huge part of this.

Lorena Robledo joined the meeting

Dan Dalton 13:37

So not only how does it relate to actually bringing jobs, but how do we build a pipeline of a workforce that needs to help entice industry to come into Texas? And then last but not least, is that kind of newer one, which is really funding. So what are the ways that we can, as a an industry academic and government collaboration, how how can we help identify those funding opportunities to really make this more tangible for the state of Texas?

So I'm gonna pause there and see if there are any comments as to how these are broken down.

Cameron Walker 14:16

It's Cameron Walker.

Permian Basin MPO. I I've looking for the education piece in there and you tell me where it is.

I I that's my main interest is how can we get this into junior colleges for training programs out of how to make these widgets, how to distribute them out of all the

things that come with education on that?

Dan Dalton 14:36

Yeah, absolutely.

So I think when referring to, how do we create that education environment, Schools, I think a big part of that is actually in the workforce development and manufacturing on the economic impact side.

Akella, Maruthi R joined the meeting

Dan Dalton 14:51

I think when it comes to those who maybe aren't directly going to be involved in the future of this industry, but maybe we'll be around it or under it for that matter, that's where the kind of the public education under Community integration.

So I think we've got both sides of that point covered, but if there's more, please let us in.

Thea Wurzburg joined the meeting

Jim Perschbach 15:11

Hey, Dan, Jim Perschbach here.

Jim Perschbach 15:16

My view on this early in the race committees, I would encourage us to really focus on two things, the perceived value to the state from this industry and the storytelling or whatever funding we have because thing was mentioned the last meeting, the real audience here is the legislator, legislature and legislative committees.

Tony White joined the meeting

Jim Perschbach 15:38

And I'm last session with think we got a little bit too into the weeds on the technical stuff and didn't do as good a job we could have.

Jim Perschbach 15:46

And I was part of that.

So I take the blame for showing the value to the state and the return on investment that they'd get from supporting.

John Eberhardt left the meeting

Jim Perschbach 15:54

And I like the fact you've highlighted in yellow the funding in there.

Dan Dalton 15:59

Excellent.

So you think there's, would you make any changes to the way it's currently good?

Jim Perschbach 16:04

I wouldn't make any changes to the way it's currently scoped.

I think the three most important things are the use cases.

They're two perceptions that I see when we start talking about this publicly here.

Jim Perschbach 16:16

The first one is that these are just flying toys for the rich or that they are basically just the next generation of helicopters.

I think the value of AAM as a transportation web and on demand web that takes a lot of pressure off of fixed infrastructure.

Jim Perschbach 16:33

It's very important on the Community integration side, a business case for how we will get the public to actually get in these aircraft and use them.

Dan Dalton 16:40

Right.

Jim Perschbach 16:43

I think it's tremendously important and then dramatically simplifying funding.

If we show up and say this is a groundbreaking industry that's going to become a massive addressable market globally, but we have a massive ads for funding.

I think what we're going to do is we're going to put ourselves relatively close to the bottom of the pack.

So as we phrase the stories that would start with use case, Community integration and funding really being as a fill in the gaps and turbocharge the effort.

But make the case that this is something is coming and the state needs to be in front of it.

Dan Dalton 17:19

So those those are great words and I think you know one of the things that we talked about in recommending is is is the concept of myth busting.

So, you know, myth busting the idea that these will be toys for the rich.

And then these are going to replace helicopters.

At least I think many of us in the industry on the AAM side at least, really see this as an opportunity to open.

So it's not just what part of the pie have you taking, it's how do we make the pie bigger, right?

And so this many of these technologies actually allow the aviation pipe to grow in ways that in the use cases that we've never been.

So, you know, I really like the ideas around behind there's ask for response and the way that public uses of these various tools could really be game changers, especially in saving lives.

So I think you're right in use cases is really important.

I think the spelling this is really important and we should definitely give to that.

The other comments on this structure scope.

All right, so let's see.

Next slide, please.

So one of the things let's see.

All right, right.

So one of the requests was around the, you know, making sure we have a clear overarching goal for the committee itself.

Umm.

So to that extent, this was put forward.

Are there any objections to this being the overarching goal?

Is one of the questions would be does anyone think that this scope is too narrow and then we would not be able to fit everything we need and so?

Great.

Now obviously we can then get more narrow.

I think personally I think we leave it as is.

I think you can fit a lot in here, and I also think that gives us the opportunity to drive deeper into more tangible activities such as creating environments for testing, creating funding opportunities, creating vertiports and necessary like what are those things we can do.

So I think you can drive a pretty good chunk for here, or flying through it, but please.

Mark (Guest) 19:54

So Dan.

Dance Mark Ozenik with the rotorcraft.

I have a kind of a wordsmithing suggestion.

Instead of the word development might suggest acceptance and use, which is subtle, but it really kind of gets more to kind of what I think we should be doing, supporting the acceptance and use of advanced air mobility, both crewed and uncrewed.

Dan Dalton 20:23

Yeah, we have the opportunity to edit these in real time and just add kind of a swing through or red text as with the proposed language might be?

Ohh, while they're figuring that out.

Yeah, I think that's good.

I think one of the things that we would just wanna make sure of is that.

See that you will be here.

Uh, exceptions in use.

Yeah

Amanda Nelson 20:58

Or maturation, another idea.

I don't. I don't mind development either, but.

Cameron Walker 21:06

Editorial opportunity to

Dan Dalton 21:08

Oh yeah, it's OK.

Cameron Walker 21:10

I I really like.

Sorry I didn't catch the name of the person who spoke over remotely, but I think that's that's right.

When when you use that word development it it could if you took it to its extreme, could imply that we're going to be spending state money to help brand XYZ develop their tools so they can put them on the private marketplace, which is subsidizing potentially private industry.

It's too close to that line for me.

I really like acceptance and use and open other thoughts too.

Yeah, that's a real big of it.

We gotta make the public understand what this is and how valuable it is.

Daniel Bagwell joined the meeting

Gus Khankarli 21:50

If I may add, if I may add.

Davio, Rebecca L 21:50

Alright.

Gus Khankarli 21:52

Also, I think we need to refine and and clearly define what development means.

Mark Ozenik 21:54

Yeah.

Gus Khankarli 21:57

Does it for example mean development and operations?

So I think it's a bit kind of vague in my opinion.

Dan Dalton 22:03

OK.

Khankarli, Ghassan 22:10

I'm sorry.

This is Gus Khankarli

Dan Dalton 22:10

Uh, sure.

Thank you.

So one of the proposals was so acceptance and news that's that's I think we're all on board there was sorry?

Cameron Walker 22:22

But is the word public click here?

Dan Dalton 22:28

Yeah, I think that could.

Yeah, that seems like it would be fine.

I also like Mandy's uh, maturation and ohm is not acceleration.

Amanda Nelson 22:42

Yeah.

Because we want.

Brent Klavon (ANRA) joined the meeting

Clay Pope left the meeting

Amanda Nelson 22:46

So what do the recommendation?

Not just.

Yeah, not just necessarily be a place where a happens, but how do we position Texas and what are those specific recommendations to support Texas leadership in the industry?

So yeah, I like acceleration too.

Dan Dalton 23:03

Alright, so maybe something along the lines of and there we go.

Ohh, something along the lines of the the acceleration public sentence use.

Amanda Nelson 23:14

Yeah, they hate the term public acceptance.

Like except, you know, like adoption.

No, no.

This is like happiest to glads, this friend would say, but it's true.

Dan Dalton 23:28

One organization here was commas.

Umm.

So let's see, uh

Jason Day 23:34

How about develop recommendations for the Texas Legislature, legislation to accelerate and support the public acceptance and use of AAM.

Dan Dalton 23:45

I liked it.

A boost slide editing is almost as bad as public math.

Jason White 24:14

I have a comment I'd like to consider getting rid of the hedging language that says considers and go straight to act on this stuff, not consider but back in.

Dan Dalton 24:27

Yeah, I think we have struck it looks like the latest language now is in the bottom box.

Umm, so I think we have now strongly consider.

So we are basically asking for the legislature to accelerate and support the public acceptance and use of AAM, in the state of Texas.

Jim Perschbach 24:49

I the comment that was made about Texas leadership, if there's some way and I don't know how we do it, we put accelerate and support Texas leadership and the public acceptance review today AAM but showing this is something we're Texas is already leading and it's requiring the legislature just to turbocharge a little bit, I think would go a long way.

Dan Dalton 25:13

Yes, good call, Jim. Um.

Let's see where we can slide that in.

No further accelerated with suggest we already are, so yes.

Jim Perschbach 25:23

Yeah, maybe it's enhanced.

Texas's leadership in AAM and accelerate and support the public acceptance and use of AAM.

Both crewed and uncrewed, and as needed, Texas.

Dan Dalton 25:54

Yeah, maybe it's enhanced Texas leadership in a AM by developing recommendations.

Jim Perschbach 26:01

I think that's brilliant.

Dan Dalton 26:05

Other than that, I think this is, you know, work on the land.

Yeah, I like it.

And then the thing through how we might address your acceptance part,

Amanda Nelson 26:29

I'm not gonna die on this hill.

It's Personal community integration.

Yeah, it's a.

It's a personal preference.

Public acceptance is just not my favorites.

Community integration.

It's public adoption.

It's, you know, just like the benefits I will live with public acceptance.

David Fields 26:47

I actually agree with you that uh smacks pretty close to modal theft with TxDOT as well as over and over again is not their job.

Dan Dalton 27:03

That.

Did you lose Cameron?

I was gonna say maybe.

Yeah, maybe we do go with community integration.

Because it is.

Dear to your point, and it is a little bit less about making sure they accept it and more about how do we make sure we actually integrate, which I think some just presupposes that there is acceptance.

They're probably not being accepted so.

Amanda Nelson 27:40

Sorry a million yes.

Dan Dalton 27:46

All right, this is pretty good to me.

Uh.

Others thoughts?

And well, we will go with this. Until.

Yeah, we'll go with this through today and we'll see if that actually the community, the subcommittee work actually aligns with that.

But I think this is a pretty robust goal.

Umm, socially in the next few months.

So uh, we see the next slide please.

Excellent.

All right.

So, uh, they basically this is a template.

We won't go through each of these.

This is a template slide that we will ask each of the subcommittees to go ahead and start populating from today.

As we break out into various rooms, umm, the overarching goal has been updated. Cameron will go back to the goal one second 1:20 to make sure you're doing the language.

So.

So the overarching goal, that's the old one.

So we'll update that, but some key things that I would like to have folks do today is first and foremost identify a leader for each of the subgroups.

Umm.

And also make sure you know when you're going to meet next, but then within that, helping to really hone in on what the scope of each of the subcommittees that are, I think the scopes while high level, they've been kind of populated with what everyone kind of said back.

I think it would be really important to nail down with the scopes are and then drives under those.

So again, this is a template slide which we will share with you.

So you'll be able to reference this.

It yourself please umm any questions or comments on how we do the breakouts?

We'll talk sharing second.

In fact, I will probably have someone else explained logistics of this because it still is a black box to me.

Sorry again, but can we go back just to the to the overarching goals?

I look like the one I showed him inside.

You know what?

We came back with was what you see there, but we've actually kind of substituted public or sorry Community integration for public acceptance because community innovation, you know?

But then ohh, exceptions in the rationale being if you can't integrate, you probably don't have all these acceptance.

OK.

Well, that's not my words.

It's definitely so, umm, alright.

Those are her great.

OK.

Well, with that, then let's let's talk about logistics of of how we actually break into subgroups.

Uh, yeah.

So once said, already designed to the to their subcommittee, the breakout room, those that are physically here, there's people like you're going to go to each a couple of individual rooms.

One will be will stay here, one of the subcommittees will stay in.

They're OK.

Canyon this room here and we got to get our rooms out here.

And I believe there are going to be 5 subcommittee, so we will have another subcommittee, the security folks.

So whoever basically needs to go to that room will take care of you, get you patched in.

That's why we need about 5-10 minutes of break once we do.

For those that are online, which is that we have majority of us here.

Uh, hangtight.

If you are a committee member and you're a moment, you'll be discussion about who goes to which committee subcommittee.

We're going to invite you basically move you to the subcommittee that you're gonna be you are a member of, so you actually won't have to click on hyperlink or anything like that to go.

So we have folks here from Texas State helping us out with that and all the tech side.

So I'm just stand by when do we get to that point?

We'll send you over there and and then in those rooms will be the discussion and then we'll also basically send you back.

So if you're online, you're jobs fairly easy.

Just hang tight and and you'll get sued over there.

We will have a little bit of a break time, so if there is a tech problem, if you've been dropped off or something like that, please sign back in, get in the chat.

Channel so they can issue and we have folks here that their job is gonna be to make sure that it goes to the correct room online and in person.

And so once we have, uh, who's on the committee, so we'll be able to, you know, great.

Alright, so with that I will say a couple things.

So the idea is you will self select what committee would love to be in if everyone clumps into one and no one shows up in like funding.

I think the right to reassign to double pass you because we do need to make sure we cover down on each of these topics.

Thea Wurzburg left the meeting

Dan Dalton 32:52

And so I just wanna make sure that we're all transparent here.

We will need folks to.

Is they gave themselves to various subgroups, and you may actually need to be a 2 subgroups.

We'll see.

But one thing that's yeah, that's that's one thing.

Elisa Greenberg joined the meeting

Dan Dalton 33:08

And then as it relates to the public, that's the other part of what I've mentioned.

So the intention is here that this is in transparent and maybe so we will allow the public to be part of the subcommittees as well.

Know that only Members can vote.

So while the public can provide input, it makes sense of things.

It will be up to the communities decide how and, who is actually executing this, leads to the activities.

So I just wanted to know that as well.

So with that, I will ask, I'll go through each of the committees and ask who would actually like to be on each of the committees and then we'll kind of see where we are in terms of balance.

And then from there we will probably, yeah, we've seen that.

There's clearly not an opportunity to to balance them out.

We will go ahead and balance them, but yeah, let's go ahead and start with infrastructure.

Those would like to be involved in infrastructure.

Please raise your hand if you're online.

Please raise your hand.

Myself and Michael will be floating between the communities so.

Blasingame, Dale C left the meeting

Dan Dalton 34:34

Feelings and structure.

That's great.

I appreciate that the hopes of the rumor actually holding back for the other committees that's getting it.

Just wanna see what's behind the closed door?

That's right.

And we will not know what behind TxDOT close door.

Alright.

Do we have a good, tally looking?

Of online.

OK.

And you got those names so we can reassign them to.

I can reports of these.

Yeah.

Amanda Nelson 35:08

And that's public and committee members, right?

Dan Dalton 35:10

Yes, absolutely.

They're coming back up.

If you can keep your digital hand raised, that would be great.

But it's just going to.

Sure.

And just a reminder that it'll be up to the committee members to actually organize and and leave the subcommittees.

Lorena Robledo left the meeting

Dan Dalton 35:41

All right.

Chair.

Good, good call, Ohm preached the subcommittees.

At minimum it would be good to have a lead, so a share umm at a maximum that we wouldn't have a vice chair in case there's you know coverage issues and what not, just the timing of the meetings.

So please do strive to find both, but appreciate that if there are a smaller number of folks who they would be able to have just a chair for the subcommittee.

Right grades.

So if you could drop your digital hand now, we will talk to the public good and safety use case.

So if you're interested in being part of that, please raise your hand.

And Jason came in front.

And you don't mind for the committee members online?

Actually, if you could verbally speak up just so we can distinguish you from the public members who are complaining that are also wanting to be part of the committees, I want to make sure we get who's members 1st and then we'll figure out the public person also.

Alright, setting for public good and safety, I think, Jason, you are the the Member that will lead that group.

Tony White left the meeting

Dan Dalton 37:01

Congratulations.

Oh, I see.

Sergio was on there.

OK.

So yeah, if you are a, let's say if you are a member of the committee, a formal member of the committee, Please note that online, if you want to speak up, I think Sergio, you, Sergio and Ernest and thinking 2 up there.

John Eberhardt joined the meeting

Dan Dalton 37:26

Sergio, you can come off mute.
But I know, but we couldn't hear you.
But yeah, we got you covered.
It is double double. Need someone.
OK.
I think we're good, Matt.

Sergio Saenz 37:40

Yeah, I had double mute on.

Dan Dalton 37:41

That will be fine, OK.
And we will need to work out Ernest.
You you've selected both infrastructure and public good public use, we'll need to figure out kind of where we put you digitally today.
So that you do not have the existing 2 rooms you said.

Ernest Huffman 38:01

I'll.
I'll be honest, I'd like to be in all the groups.

Dan Dalton 38:07

OK.
Umm yeah, we will figure out how to.
I think today at least, you'll need to focus on one and we can talk about that offline.

John Eberhardt left the meeting

Dan Dalton 38:19

But yeah, is there one that you right now would like to be focused on?

Jason White left the meeting

Ernest Huffman 38:27

Either economic impact or funding.

Dan Dalton 38:27

Right.

OK, great.

Thank you.

Uh.

All right.

So please lower your digital hands.

Jeff left the meeting

Dan Dalton 38:36

Next up is community integration.

John Eberhardt joined the meeting

Dan Dalton 38:54

Open on site next.

Kendal Prosack 39:02

Kendal Prosack with Wing.

Jim Perschbach 39:08

And Jim Perschbach here with Port San Antonio.

Kimberly Williams 39:25

This is Kim Williams signed up for two for community integration and infrastructure.

But today, I'll focus on infrastructure.

Dan Dalton 39:36

And you Kim.

And again for today, I realized it's a little bit of a paradox in terms of having to decide because they are mutually exclusive in time.

Enyu Li left the meeting

Dan Dalton 39:54

But in the future, when the actual subcommittees meet, the intention is that they will actually be not at the same time, so that they will actually be able to be able to be party to to multiple.

We see it open.

For something so.

For those online, we're just waiting to have Texas State staff almost make sure we got everyone's squared away.

And of course, if if you find that you don't actually end up in digital room.

Umm yeah.

Please let us know we will.

We will make sure you guys know it.

Great.

OK.

All right.

Please lower your digital hands online and economic impact will be the next one for folks interested in economic impact.

Cameron in the front.

Ready.

And then Next up, uh, please lower your hands and then economic impact.

Cameron has volunteered to take on economic impact.

Great.

I'm just congratulations, Sir. Yes.

Right.

And then last but not least is funding.

And then over here in the front.

Mark Ozenik 42:37

Dan, this is Mark Ozenik with rotorcraft.

Have a a comment and a suggestion here.
How many people have volunteered for funding so far?

Dan Dalton 42:48

We have five.
Yeah, five so.

Mark (Guest) 42:50

So I think the in terms of how all these subcommittees need to work with one another, but infrastructure and funding are kind of linked at the hip, right?
So just a suggestion that the the two committees ought to be talking to one another kind of more than the others just in.

Dan Dalton 43:09

That was.
Yeah, I I agree.
I think well, so I kind of actually see something underpinning many of these.
You can see how there's the funding element for workforce development.
You can see that there's a funding element for public education and engagement with the communities, certainly for public safety.
So yes, I agree.
I think infrastructure maybe the most expensive.
Umm.
And I think there is a deep relationship this we have between those two so communities, but I would encourage all of the subcommittees to make sure that they're thinking of this funding lens so.
The slides?
Umm.
So for our Texas State friends.
Uh, thoughts.
Are there any issues you have?
Any concerns?
OK.
So we'll go through if folks online and here in the room and go through and make sure that the screen actually captures where you would like to be today.

And if it is inaccurate?

Umm, well, now I'm gonna say I don't know if you're on here, if it is inaccurate.
Please let us know.

Jason is on Jasons with me on public safety.

Grant Guillot 44:35

Yeah, this is a Grant Guillot .Sorry, I would.
My preference is community integration then funding.

Dan Dalton 44:47

I so you'd like to be on Community integration for today then.

Grant Guillot 44:53

Yeah, Community integration, please.

Dan Dalton 44:54

It's it's.
There for today.

George Kivork 45:07

And this is George. If I I am accurate listed for funding today, but also would like to participate in Community integration as appropriate.
Thank you.

Dan dalton 45:18

So in leadership, so you wanna be in funding today though, right, George?

George Kivork 45:24

Correct.
Thank you.

Fields, David - PD 45:28

And this is David Fields.
If you can keep me on Community integration for today, but add me to.

Uh, I'm sorry.

Add me to public good for other meetings that appreciate that.

Dan Dalton 45:38

You.

And I think we'll end up probably having a couple of other folks who want to add future future subcommittees.

So let's go ahead and focus on today.

If if the first thing in your column if the first word your column is not correct for today, please let us know,

otherwise. Um.

We'll sort of see some cross pollination for future case.

Ben Ivers (Boeing) 46:11

Yeah, correct.

Dan, this is Ben Ivers

I was gonna attend the virtual the virtual infrastructure one and then funding afterwards.

Brent Klavon (ANRA) 46:27

Good morning.

This is Brent Klavon infrastructure, please.

Lorena Robledo joined the meeting

Dan Dalton 46:38

Anyone else any changes for today?

Ernest Huffman 46:42

Yeah.

Yes, this is Ernest Huffman.

If I can't sign up for all groups, put me in funding cause I think funding encompasses everything.

Dan Dalton 46:51

Yeah, sounds good.

And this is just for today, I think.

All right.

All right.

So we will give this a shot, see how it goes.

Again, if there's any adjustments that need to be made, please don't hesitate to reach out to us.

John Eberhardt left the meeting

Dan Dalton 47:08

Yes.

So with that Rick access, we'll go ahead and break.

The intention is that we will come back here.

Let's plan to come back at around 11:00 o'clock.

So like it gives us an hour to kind of think through what they would like to do with the like to have leave this some things and then kind of next steps is it also really, really important and then we'll have uh come back at 11, have folks brief out kind of what they thought through and will be able to answer ask questions about those subcommittees for hour or so and then maybe have some time for closing.

And then if there's any business, alright, great.

So now we will try and move people around physically and digitally.

And of course, yellow and about an hour. Thanks.